

REMARKS

The Office Action dated September 20, 2005 has been reviewed and carefully considered. Claims 1, 13, 25, 29 and 30 have been amended and claims 12, 24 and 28 have been canceled. Claims 1-11, 13-23, 25-27 and 29-30 are pending in the application.

In paragraph 1 on page 2 of the Office Action, the title of the invention was objected to.

Applicant respectfully traverses the objection to the title, but in the interest of expediting prosecution have amended the title of the application to overcome the objection.

In paragraph 3 on page three of the Office Action, claims 1-11m 13-23, 25-27 and 29-30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Hsu.

In paragraph 6 on page four of the Office Action, claims 12, 24 and 28 were indicated as being allowable if amended to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection, but in the interest of expediting prosecution have amended the claims. Claims 1, 13, 25, 29 and 30 have all been amended to include language that was indicated as being allowable.


On the basis of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the claims are in immediate condition for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration of this application and its allowance are requested.

Appl. No. 10/628,790
Amdt. Dated: December 20, 2005
Reply to Office Action of September 20, 2005

If a telephone conference would be helpful in resolving any issues concerning this communication, please contact attorney for Applicant, David W. Lynch, at 423-757-0264.

Chambliss, Bahner and Stophel
1000 Tallan Building
Two Union Square
Chattanooga, TN 37402
423-757-0264

Respectfully submitted,

By: 
Name: David W. Lynch
Reg. No.: 36,204